The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 13

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WILHELM ELSNER

Application No. 09/753,976

VACATUR AND REMAND

MA!LED

JAN 1 0 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before WILLIAM F. SMITH, ADAMS, and GRIMES, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>. WILLIAM F. SMITH, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

This appeal involves plant patent Application No. 09/753,976. The question raised in this appeal involves whether evidence of foreign sales of the claimed reproducible plant variety may enable an otherwise non-enabled printed publication disclosing the plant, thereby creating a bar under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit considered that issue in <u>In re Elsner</u>, 381 F.3d 1125, 72 USPQ2d 1038 (Fed. Cir. 2004), and held in the affirmative. <u>Id.</u> at 1128, 72 USPQ2d at 1041. In so holding, the court stated that "[t]he foreign sale must not be an

Appeal No. 2004-0167 Application No. 09/753,976

obscure, solitary occurrence that would go unnoticed by those skilled in the art." Id. at 1131, 72 USPQ2d at 1043. The court also stated that the record did not establish that "even if the interested public would readily know of the foreign sales, those sales enabled one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plants without undue experimentation." Id. Thus, the court vacated the Board's decision and remanded the case for "further factual findings relating to the accessibility of the foreign sales of the claimed plants and the reproducibility of the claimed plants from the plants that were sold." Id.

In this case, the examiner is relying upon applicant's admission that the claimed plant "was first offered for sale in Europe in October 1998" as evidence that European Community Plant Breeder's Rights Application No. 98/1018 is enabled. Examiner's Answer, page 3. However, there is no evidence whether the sales were of the type that would be noticed by those of skill in the art. Nor has the other issue raised by the Federal Circuit in Elsner, whether the sales would enable one skilled in the art to reproduce the claimed plant without undue experimentation, been addressed.

Accordingly, we vacate the examiner's rejection and remand the case to the examiner to determine whether the sales of the claimed plant (1) were "an obscure,"

solitary occurrence that would go unnoticed by those skilled in the art" and (2) would enable one to reproduce the plant without undue experimentation.

VACATED; REMANDED

William F Smith

William F. Smith

Administrative Patent Judge

) BOARD OF PATENT

Donald E. Adams

Administrative Patent Judge) APP

APPEALS AND

) INTERFERENCES

Eric Grimes

Administrative Patent Judge

Appleal No. 2004-0167 Application No. 09/753,976

Webb, Ziesenheim, Logsdon, Orkin & Hanson, PC 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219

dem